



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,906	12/14/2001	John W. Gaiser	9222.17412-CIP 2	7647	
7	7590 03/19/2004			EXAMINER	
RYAN KROMHOL & MANION, S.C. Post Office Box 26618			THANH, LOAN H		
MILWAUKEE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3763	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>\$</i>			
	Application No.	, oplicant(s)],			
	10/017,906	GAISER ET AL.	/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LoAn H. Thanh	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover si	eet with the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to teply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e. cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication. ,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 L	December 2001.					
•	s action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from considerati					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	'are: a) ☐ accepted e drawing(s) be held in ction is required if the c	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Irawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	95 (8) 5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Super No(s)/Mail Date Substice of Informal Patent Application (PT) Substitution (PT) Substitution (PT)	⁻ O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/017,906 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 74- internal lumen, 78 – orifice. Please see figs. 14a,14b, 15a, and 15b. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the spine having a lumen which communicates with the guidewire lumen (see claim 5) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: There is no support in the specification for the spine lumen to communicate with the guidewire lumen as claimed in claim 5.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1 "assembly" should be "assembly". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the basket assembly" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ressemann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,395,332 or 5,571,087) or Fischell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,830,227).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/017,906

Art Unit: 3763

Ressemann et al. disclose an assembly having a catheter tube, an expandable structure 62, a distal tail 76 at the distal end thereof, the distal tail having a guidewire lumen 145 and a guidewire 78. See figures1-2,4,9-10, 12-1315-, 17-18.

Fischell et al. disclose an assembly having a catheter tube, an expandable structure 20/54, a distal tail 65 at the distal end thereof, the distal tail having a quidewire lumen 62 and a guidewire 30.

Claims 1-2,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunham (U.S. Patent No. 5,797,948).

Dunham discloses an assembly having a catheter tube, an expandable structure 30, a distal tail 90 at the distal end thereof, the distal tail having a guidewire lumen 94,96 and a guidewire. The basket assembly being 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Edwards et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,254,598) in view of Ressemann et al. or Fischell et al. or Dunham (above references).

The applied reference has a common inventors with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/017,906

Art Unit: 3763

only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2). Edwards et al. disclose the invention as substantially claimed. Edwards et al. show a catheter, a balloon expandable structure and a spines having lumens with electrodes within. Edwards et al. also teaches the device could be used in conjunction with a guidewire. However, Edwards et al. is silent to the specific location of the guidewire lumen. The secondary references teach an assembly having an expandable balloon with a guidewire lumen at the distal end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the assembly of Edwards et al. with a guidewire lumen at the distal as taught by the secondary

Art Unit: 3763

references in order to provide steering of the assembly and quick and easy removable of the guidewire.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ellis et al., Ndondo-Lay et al., Ressemann et al. (5549553, 5921958, 5571087) and Jung et al. are similar to the art applied above in the rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh —
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3763

LT

LOAN H. THANH
PRIMARY EXAMINER